arXiv:1412.4650v1 [hep-ph] 15 Dec 2014

Quantum Black Hole Decay with MadGraph

G.L. Alberghi[a*]

[a] *Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Bologna*
viale B. Pichat 6/2, 40127 Bologna, Italy

December 16, 2014

Abstract

We begin the investigation of the decay of quantum black holes with masses of the order of the TeV, possibly produced at the Large Hadron Collider, within the framework of MadGraph 5, a standard particle physics Monte Carlo event generator. We write an effective Lagrangian to describe the black hole decay and examine both the possibility of a complete decay into standard model particles with or without the associated production of a very massive stable neutral remnant.

1 Introduction

It is now well appreciated that the scale at which quantum gravity effects become comparable in strength to the forces of the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics could be well below the traditional Planck mass of 10^{19} GeV, and potentially in the TeV range [1, 2, 3]. In fact, models with low scale quantum gravity allow for a fundamental scale of gravity as low as the electroweak scale, $M_{\rm G} \simeq 1$ TeV. In this case microscopic black holes might be produced particle in accelerators (see, e.g., Ref. [4]). Until recently, only semi-classical black holes, which decay via the Hawking radiation [5], had been considered. These black holes, whose standard description is based on the canonical Planckian distribution for the emitted particles, have a very short life-time of the order of 10^{-26} s [6]. The creation of semi-classical black holes in collisions of high energetic particles is well understood [7, 8, 9]. Our understanding of this phenomenon thus goes way beyond the naive hoop conjecture [10] used in the first papers on the topic and the Large Hadron Collider was able to set some bounds on the Planck mass, searching for semi-classical black holes [16, 17, 18] by using the predictions derived by using several simulation tools, available to describe black hole production and evaporation at colliders [11, 12, 13, 14, 15],

Recently, it has been pointed out that, besides semi-classical blackholes, which appear to be difficult to produce at colliders, as they might require energies 5 to 20 times larger than the Planck scale, quantum black holes, could be instead copiously produced [19, 20, 21, 22]. These black holes are non-thermal objects with masses close to the Planck scale, and might resemble strong gravitational rescattering events [23]. In Ref. [19], non-thermal quantum black holes were assumed to decay into only a couple of particles. However, depending on the details of quantum gravity, the

*alberghi@bo.infn.it

smallest quantum black holes might be stable and would not decay at all. The existence of remnants, i.e. the smallest stable black holes, have been considered previously in the literature [24, 25]. And, most recently, the production of neutral and integer charged semi-classical remnant black holes have been simulated in Ref. [26].

By assuming a decay into a maximum of four standard model particles, and allowing also the possibility of producing a stable massive neutral remnant, we were able to write down an effective Lagrangian for the interaction between the quantum black hole and the standard model particles and the remnent. This allows a direct implementation of the model in MadGraph 5 ([27]) and thus the use of a its powerful machinery. In particular we are able to study the properties of quantum black hole decay events, considering bot the possibility that its decay products contain or not a massive neutral remnant.

The paper is organised as follows: in the Section 2, we briefly review black hole production in the semi-classical regime and extrapolate possible behaviours in the quantum regime; in Section 3 we describe the model impelemented in MadGraph 5; in Section 4 we complete our phenomenological analysis; we finally comment, conclude and argue about further developments in Section 5.

2 Black hole production

In this work, we start from the possibility that remnant black holes could be the end-point of the Hawking evaporation, but they might also be produced directly without going through the usual evaporation process but through a decay process. The physics of the latter is very similar to that described in Ref. [19], with the important exception that they would be stable.

In a proton-proton collider such as the LHC, black holes would be produced by quarks, anti-quarks and gluons and would thus typically carry both a QED and a $\mathrm{SU}(3)_c$ charge, namely

a) $\mathbf{3} \times \overline{\mathbf{3}} = \mathbf{8} + \mathbf{1}$

b) $\mathbf{3} \times \mathbf{3} = \mathbf{6} + \overline{\mathbf{3}}$

c) $\mathbf{3} \times \mathbf{8} = \mathbf{3} + \overline{\mathbf{6}} + \mathbf{15}$

d) $\mathbf{8} \times \mathbf{8} = \mathbf{1}_S + \mathbf{8}_S + \mathbf{8}_A + \mathbf{10} + \overline{\mathbf{10}}_A + \mathbf{27}_S$

Most of the time, black holes will thus be created with a $\mathrm{SU}(3)_c$ charge and come in different representations of $\mathrm{SU}(3)_c$, as well as QED charges. It is also likely that their masses are quantized, as described in Ref. [21]. Remnant quantum black holes can therefore be classified according to representations of $\mathrm{SU}(3)_c$. Let us further note that in Refs. [19, 20, 21, 22] we did not expect that non-thermal quantum black holes would "hadronize" before decaying (since the QCD length scale is 200^{-1} MeV, whereas that of quantum gravity in these scenario is at most 1000^{-1} GeV). However, since the black holes we consider here are stable, we expect that they will hadronize, i.e. absorbe a particle charged under $SU(3)_c$ after traveling over a distance of some 200^{-1} MeV and become an $SU(3)_c$ singlet. The hadronization process could lead to remnants with zero electric charge, however, they could still have a (fractional) QED charge.

The production cross section is in any case extrapolated from the semi-classical regime and assumed to be accurately described by the geometrical cross section formula. The horizon radius,

which depends on the number d of extra-dimensions, is given by

$$R_{\rm H} = \frac{\ell_{\rm G}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{M}{M_{\rm G}}\right)^{\frac{1}{d+1}} \left(\frac{8\,\Gamma\left(\frac{d+3}{2}\right)}{d+2}\right)^{\frac{1}{d+1}} \ , \tag{2.1}$$

where $\ell_{\rm G} = \hbar/M_{\rm G}$ is the fundamental gravitational length associated with $M_{\rm G}$, M is the black hole mass, Γ the Gamma function. At the LHC, a black hole could form in the collision of two partons, i.e. the quarks, anti-quarks and gluons of the colliding protons. The total black hole cross section,

$$\left.\frac{d\sigma}{dM}\right|_{pp\to BH+X} = \frac{dL}{dM}\,\sigma_{\rm BH}(ab\to BH;\hat{s}=M^2) \ , \tag{2.2}$$

can be estimated from the geometrical hoop conjecture [10], so that

$$\sigma_{\rm BH}(M) \approx \pi\, R_{\rm H}^2 \ , \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\frac{dL}{dM} = \frac{2\,M}{s} \sum_{a,b} \int_{M^2/s}^1 \frac{dx_a}{x_a}\, f_a(x_a)\, f_b\left(\frac{M^2}{s\,x_a}\right) \ , \tag{2.4}$$

where a and b represent the partons which form the black hole, $\sqrt{\hat{s}}$ is their centre-mass energy and $f_i(x_i)$ are parton distribution functions (PDF) and $\sqrt{s}$ the LHC centre-mass collision energy (up to 8 TeV presently, with a planned maximum of 14 TeV).

3 The effective model

MadGraph 5 allows the implementation of new models of particle physics by simply writing down a Lagrangian. A dedicated routine computes the deriving Feynman diagrams, allowing the calculation of matrix elements, and consequently the decay width. This first step in the analysis of quantum black hole decay is the analysis we describe in this section. In our model, the quantum black hole is implemented as a heavy scalar particle coupling with universal coefficents to standard model particles. In particular, as a first step in this new line of research, we allowed the possibility for the black hole to decay into a maximum of four Standard Model Particles, with or without the production of a stable neutral remnant. We chose the mass of the remnant to be 500 GeV lower that that of the decaying black hole, in order to explore the possibility of having an high mass neutral stable particle in the final state, maximizing the importance of the new decay channel. In particular we examined the case for quantum blck holes of mass 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5 TeV. The interaction terms are of the form, (we take as an example the coupling with two quarks, which correspond to the diagrams of Fig.1, as representd by MadGraph)

$$L_{int} \supseteq \frac{1}{2}\, g_{BH}^{(3)}\, \bar{u}\, u\, {\rm bh} + \frac{1}{2}\, g_{BH}^{(4)}\, \bar{u}\, u\, {\rm bh\ bhr} \tag{3.1}$$

where bh represents the black hole, bhr is the remnant, $g_{BH}^{(3)}$ is the universal coupling constant of the black hole with two SM particles and the corresponding $g_{BH}^{(4)}$ is the term allowing for the production of the remnant. As already said, the Lagrangian allows the possibility of producing



Figure 1: The Feynman diagrams corresponding to the interaction term of Eq.3.1

up to four SM particles, thus four universal coupling constants were introduced and all possible terms were added to the interaction Lagrangian. The value of the coupling constants were chosen in order to give rise to decay width comparable to the so called democraticity of the black hole decay, and had to be slightly adjusted depending on the mass of the decaying black hole, in order for all the decay channels to have comparable width. Clearly, in the following steps of the analysis, such a dependance shuld be investigated in more detail. In table 1 we summarize the values of the interacion constants and the corresponding decay width for the model we are considering.

BH Mass (TeV)	Remnant Mass (Tev)	g^3_{BH}	g^4_{BH}	g^5_{BH}	g^6_{BH}	Decay Width (GeV)
1.5	1.0	10^{-2}	10^{-2}	$3 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$3 \cdot 10^{-5}$	167
2.0	1.5	10^{-2}	10^{-2}	10^{-6}	$3 \cdot 10^{-5}$	124
2.5	2.0	10^{-2}	10^{-2}	10^{-7}	$5 \cdot 10^{-5}$	89
3.0	2.5	10^{-2}	10^{-2}	10^{-7}	$5 \cdot 10^{-5}$	74
3.5	3.0	10^{-2}	10^{-2}	10^{-7}	$5 \cdot 10^{-5}$	87

Table 1: The model parameters

4 The Decay

In order to analyze the decay events we employed the powerful tools provided by MadAnalysis (see [30]). In fact it is possible to import the decay files produced by MadGraph and perform cuts and selections on global and individual kinematical properties of the events. In particular, in order to point out the main characteristics of the different scenarios under study, and the possibility to observe a signal over the SM background, we have applied a basic selection to the hadron level Monte Carlo samples using the following event variables:

- the missing transverse momentum,

$$P_T^{\text{miss}} = \sqrt{\left(\sum_i P_{x_i}\right)^2 + \left(\sum_i P_{y_i}\right)^2} \ , \tag{4.1}$$

  where P_{x_i} and P_{y_i} are the cartesian x and y components of the momentum of the i^{th} particle, and i runs over all the undetectable final state particles: neutrinos, gravitons and neutral BH remnant;

- the visible transverse energy,

$$E_T^{\text{vis}} = \sum_k \left(\sqrt{P_{x_k}^2 + P_{y_k}^2}\right) \ , \tag{4.2}$$

  where k runs over all the detectable final state particles.

- P_T^{lep}, defined as the transverse momentum of the leading lepton (e or μ) with $|\eta^{\text{lep}}| < 2.5$, where η^{lep} is the lepton pseudorapidity;

- $\beta(bhr)$, the velocity of the black hole remnant

The corresponding histograms are shown in figs. (2 to 21). These kinematical variables should be interesting as the observation of final state particles with high transverse momentum is the typical signal for the decay of a large mass state. In particular, the requirement of high-energy leptons and/or high missing transverse momentum allows to cope with the huge QCD background at the LHC. Since BHs decay democratically to all SM particles, the search for extremely energetic leptons is, in this context, one of the most direct way to look for deviations from the SM predictions.

However, in case of stable neutral remnants, the lepton signal can be depressed by the fact that a relevant fraction of the BH mass is not available in the decay. On the other hand, the neutral remnant, behaving as a WIMP, will carry away a lot of energy and enhance the missing transverse energy signal.

Finally, we will see that the massive black hole remnants have a velocity much lower than that of the standard model particles produced. This feature was already noted in [26], where it was also shown that the expected velocity distributions for neutral and charged remnants looks alike (therefore providing a mechanism for the detection and identification for charged remnants). We plan to allow for the production of charged remnants in the following developments of the research. Let us now briefly comment on the behavior shown in the figures. One can first note that the high transverse momentum component due to the black hole remnant decreases as the black hole and remnant mass gets lower (see figs. [**?**] to [**?**]) In a further development of this analysis one would want to disentangle the effect of the black hole and remnant masses, as in the present model they are strictly related. The same qualitative behavior appears for the visible transverse energy and for the transverse momentum of the leading lepton (figs. [**?**] to PtLep15), even if the amount of energy available to standard model particles in events containing a remnant in the final state is always 500 GeV. This aspect deserves further investigation in the following stages of the model development. Finally the mean velocity of the remnant gets higher as its mass gets lower, as one might have naively expected.

We want to conclude by stressing a peculiarity of the results. If one compare the results with standard black hole decay analysis, one can note that the missing transverse momentum carried away by the neutral remnant is not as high as one could usually expect (see for example [24, 25]), meaning that some black hole decays could be hidden by background events.

5 Conclusions and possible developments

We have begun the investigation of the decay of quantum black holes with masses of the order of the TeV, possibly produced at the Large Hadron Collider, within the framework of MadGraph 5, a standard particle physics Monte Carlo generator. We have written an effective Lagrangian to describe the black hole decay, examining both the possibility of a complete decay in standard model particles and the production of a very massive stable neutral remnant. The analysis was performed using the MadAnalysis tool, allowing us to point out some peculiar and somehow unexpected features of the decay process, which need to be further inevestigated in the following stages of this research. This will be done by allowing more flexibility in the model and considering a wider range for the parameters of the model, considering even the case where a charged remnant is produced.

References

[1] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. Dvali, Phys. Lett. **B 429**, 263 (1998); Phys. Rev. D **59**, 0806004 (1999); I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. Dvali, Phys. Lett. **B 436**, 257 (1998).

[2] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 4690 (1999); Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3370 (1999).

[3] X. Calmet, S. D. H. Hsu and D. Reeb, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 125015 (2008). X. Calmet, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **25**, 1553 (2010). G. L. Alberghi, D. A. Lowe and M. Trodden, JHEP **9907**, 020 (1999) [hep-th/9906047].

[4] M. Cavaglia, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **18**, 1843 (2003); P. Kanti, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **19**, 4899 (2004).

[5] S.W. Hawking, Nature **248**, 30 (1974); Comm. Math. Phys. **43**, 199 (1975).

[6] S. Dimopoulos and G. Landsberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 161602 (2001); T. Banks and W. Fischler, "A model for high energy scattering in quantum gravity," arXiv:hep-th/9906038; S.B. Giddings and S.D. Thomas, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 056010 (2002).

[7] P.D. D'Eath and P.N. Payne, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 658 (1992); Phys. Rev. D **46**, 675 (1992); Phys. Rev. D **46**, 694 (1992).

[8] D.M. Eardley and S.B. Giddings, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 044011 (2002).

[9] S.D.H. Hsu, Phys. Lett. B **555**, 92 (2003).

[10] K.S. Thorne, *Nonspherical gravitational collapse: A short review*, in J.R . Klauder, *Magic Without Magic*, San Francisco (1972), 231-258.

[11] C.M. Harris, P. Richardson and B.R. Webber, JHEP **0308**, 033 (2003).

[12] M. Cavaglia, R. Godang, L. Cremaldi and D. Summers, Comput. Phys. Commun. **177** (2007) 506.

[13] G.L. Alberghi, R. Casadio, A. Tronconi, J. Phys. G **G34** (2007) 767

[14] D.-C. Dai, G. Starkman, D. Stojkovic, C. Issever, E. Rizvi, J. Tseng, Phys. Rev. **D77** (2008) 076007.

[15] J.A. Frost, J.R. Gaunt, M.O.P. Sampaio, M. Casals, S.R. Dolan, M.A. Parker, B.R. Webber, JHEP **0910** (2009) 014.

[16] V. Khachatryan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], Phys. Lett. **B 697** (2011) 434; G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **716**, 122 (2012).

[17] S.C. Park, Phys. Lett. B **701**, 587 (2011).

[18] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], JHEP **1408**, 103 (2014) [arXiv:1405.4254 [hep-ex]].

[19] X. Calmet, W. Gong and S.D.H. Hsu, Phys. Lett. B **668**, 20 (2008).

[20] X. Calmet, D. Fragkakis and N. Gausmann, Eur. Phys. J. C **71**, 1781 (2011).

[21] X. Calmet, D. Fragkakis and N. Gausmann, "Non thermal small black holes," arXiv:1201.4463 [hep-ph], to appear in 'Black Holes: Evolution, Theory and Thermodynamics,' to be published by Nova Publishers.

[22] X. Calmet, L. I. Caramete and O. Micu, JHEP **1211**, 104 (2012).

[23] P. Meade and L. Randall, JHEP **0805**, 003 (2008) [arXiv:0708.3017 [hep-ph]].

[24] B. Koch, M. Bleicher and S. Hossenfelder, JHEP **0510**, 053 (2005).

[25] S. Hossenfelder, Nucl. Phys. A **774**, 865 (2006).

[26] G. L. Alberghi, L. Bellagamba, X. Calmet, R. Casadio and O. Micu, Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, no. 6, 2448 (2013);

G. L. Alberghi, R. Casadio, O. Micu and A. Orlandi, JHEP **1109**, 023 (2011)

[27] J. Alwall, M. Herquet, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer and T. Stelzer, JHEP **1106**, 128 (2011) [arXiv:1106.0522 [hep-ph]].

[28] A. C. Kraan, J. B. Hansen and P. Nevski, Eur. Phys. J. C **49**, 623 (2007).

[29] R. Hauser, Eur. Phys. J. C **34**, S173 (2004).

[30] E. Conte, B. Fuks and G. Serret, Comput. Phys. Commun. **184**, 222 (2013) [arXiv:1206.1599 [hep-ph]].


N. of newinvisible (L int = 10 fb -1)
p T [newinvisible] (GeV/c)
N. of newinvisible (L int = 10 fb -1)
p T [newinvisible] (GeV/c)
N. of newinvisible (L int = 10 fb -1)
p T [newinvisible] (GeV/c)

Figure 2: Missing transverse momentum for 3.5 TeV black hole decay events for all events (upper), for events with a remnant (middle) and for events without remnant (lower)


N. of newinvisible (L int = 10 fb -1)
p T [newinvisible] (GeV/c)
N. of newinvisible (L int = 10 fb -1)
p T [newinvisible] (GeV/c)
N. of newinvisible (L int = 10 fb -1)
p T [newinvisible] (GeV/c)

Figure 3: Missing transverse momentum for 3 TeV black hole decay events for all events (upper), for events with a remnant (middle) and for events without remnant (lower)


N. of newinvisible (L int = 10 fb -1)
p T [newinvisible] (GeV/c)
N. of newinvisible (L int = 10 fb -1)
p T [newinvisible] (GeV/c)
N. of newinvisible (L int = 10 fb -1)
p T [newinvisible] (GeV/c)

Figure 4: Missing transverse momentum for 2.5 TeV black hole decay events for all events (upper), for events with a remnant (middle) and for events without remnant (lower)


N. of newinvisible (L int = 10 fb -1)
p T [newinvisible] (GeV/c)
N. of newinvisible (L int = 10 fb -1)
p T [newinvisible] (GeV/c)
N. of newinvisible (L int = 10 fb -1)
p T [newinvisible] (GeV/c)

Figure 5: Missing transverse momentum for 2 TeV black hole decay events for all events (upper), for events with a remnant (middle) and for events without remnant (lower)



Figure 6: Missing transverse momentum for 1.5 TeV black hole decay events for all events (upper), for events with a remnant (middle) and for events without remnant (lower)



Figure 7: Missing transverse energy for 3.5 TeV black hole decay events for all events (upper), for events with a remnant (middle) and for events without remnant (lower)



Figure 8: Missing transverse energy for 3 TeV black hole decay events for all events (upper), for events with a remnant (middle) and for events without remnant (lower)



Figure 9: Missing transverse energy for 2.5 TeV black hole decay events for all events (upper), for events with a remnant (middle) and for events without remnant (lower)



Figure 10: Missing transverse energy for 2 TeV black hole decay events for all events (upper), for events with a remnant (middle) and for events without remnant (lower)



Figure 11: Missing transverse energy for 1.5 TeV black hole decay events for all events (upper), for events with a remnant (middle) and for events without remnant (lower)



Figure 12: PT(leading lepton) for 3.5 TeV black hole decay events for all events (upper), for events with a remnant (middle) and for events without remnant (lower)



Figure 13: Leading lepton transverse momentum for 3 TeV black hole decay events for all events (upper), for events with a remnant (middle) and for events without remnant (lower)



Figure 14: Leading lepton transverse momentum for 2.5 TeV black hole decay events for all events (upper), for events with a remnant (middle) and for events without remnant (lower)



Figure 15: Leading lepton transverse momentum for 2 TeV black hole decay events for all events (upper), for events with a remnant (middle) and for events without remnant (lower)



Figure 16: Leading lepton transverse momentum for 1.5 TeV black hole decay events for all events (upper), for events with a remnant (middle) and for events without remnant (lower)



Figure 17: β of the remnantfor 3.5 TeV black hole decay events for all events (upper) and for events with a remnant (lower)



Figure 18: β of the remnant for 3 TeV black hole decay events for all events (upper) and for events with a remnant (lower)



Figure 19: β of the remnant for 2.5 TeV black hole decay events for all events (upper) and for events with a remnant (lower)



Figure 20: β of the remnant for 2 TeV black hole decay events for all events (upper) and for events with a remnant (lower)



Figure 21: β of the remnant for 1.5 TeV black hole decay events for all events (upper) and for events with a remnant (lower)